

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,436	01/27/2004	Luc Gourlaouen	05725.1331-00	. 8683
75	90 09/16/2005		EXAM	INER
Thomas L. Irving			ELHILO, EISA B	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1300 I Street, N.W.			1751	
Washington, DC 20005-3315			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/764,436	GOURLAOUEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eisa B. Elhilo	1751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,12-19 and 31-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-11 and 20-30 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	· - · - ·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected travel that any objection to the object and the correction of the object and the correction of the object and the object and the correction of the object and the object an	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/2004.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/764,436

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 1-37 are pending in this application.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 12-19 and 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubief et al. (US 6,375,939 B1).

Dubief et al. (US' 939 B1) teaches a method for treating hair comprising applying to the hair a composition that may be formulated as a hair dyeing composition or a shampoo composition as claimed in claims 15-16 (see col. 9, lines 1-2 and line 28) wherein the composition comprises cadmium sulfide and Selenium sulfide as claimed in claims 1-3 and 18-19 (see col. 6, lines 15-17). The composition further comprises surfactants as claimed in claim 33 (see col. 9, lines 6-9).

The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting luminescent semiconductive nanoparticle capable of emitting under the action of a light excitation, radiation with a wavelength ranging from 400 to 700 nm. The reference also does not teach the diameters of the nanoparticle as claimed.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to formulate a composition for dyeing hair that comprises luminescent semiconductive nanoparticle for emitting radiation with a wavelength ranging from 400 nm to

Application/Control Number: 10/764,436

. 1551

Art Unit: 1751

700 nm and having the claimed particle diameters because the reference teaches a composition comprising cadmium sulfide and Selenium sulfite (see col. 6, lines 15-17) that are capable of emitting radiation similar to the claimed wavelength and having similar diameters and, thus a person of the ordinary skill in the art would expect such a composition to have physical properties similar to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 4-11 and 20-30 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record do not teach or disclose a method for dyeing or a dyeing composition comprising at least one luminescent semiconductive nanoparticle comprising a core a shell that covered the core as claimed.

Conclusion

The remaining references listed on from 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,436

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eisa Elhilo Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1751

September 12, 2005